

**DOG CONTROL ORDERS – CONSULTATION**

**PUBLIC COMMENT AND FEEDBACK**



# Dog Control Orders - Public Consultation Comments.

- You can only enforce it if you have somebody there to do so. People will ignore it as do those that allow their dogs to foul without cleaning the mess in the first place.
- This is an excellent proposal.
- I was unsure from the literature on the Tamworth borough council website which areas were included in this. The maps listed there showed the town centre, the walkways through the castle grounds and Anker Valley football pitches to be in the dog exclusion area. I would disagree with all of this. My son plays football regularly at Anker Valley, my dog accompanies us when we go, she is kept on the lead at all times and I make sure that she never fouls on any of the pitches. When she does go, around the edges of the field, I always pick it up. I have never seen anyone do differently there! Any dogs that I have seen attending the matches are always on leads and there is no mess in sight. It would be a real disappointment to have to leave her at home on Saturday mornings.
- All play areas should be out of bounds - its impossible to clear up 100% after dogs have messed, residue is always left
- it is not just dogs that law should change as it seems cat fouling is on the up where I live and so far law says the owner cannot be held responsible should a cat trespass on your beautiful grass lawn every day....would you be happy having to keep clearing it and spend fortunes on preventive measures etc...even if you do not have a cat...
- though I agree animal fouling is not pleasant, it is not just dog owners that turn a blind eye, if we are intending to wipe out fouling,, lets help our cat owners too (((to pick it up themselves)))) .save them saying do not have to!!!!!!their cats using garden and communal area as a toilet..... its not the animals fault but the minority that still get away with it, restricting a thing will cause family chaos as a day out etc,, lets make all be responsible
- enforcement is always going to be a problem.
- A DESIGNATED PERIMETER TO THE DEFINED 'PLAY AREAS'S' WOULD BE DESIREABLE AND ALSO A PROPOSAL I SUBMIT TO INCLUDE 'DOGS MUST BE PLACED ON A LEAD OR OTHERWISE BE KEPT UNDER PROPER CONTROL AT:-ANY OTHER PUBLIC RECREATION AREA WHEREBY A DOG OR SPECIFIC DOGS CAUSE OR ARE LIKELY TO CAUSE NUISANCE OR DANGER TO ANY OTHER USER OF THAT AREA' I WORRY ABOUT THE INTRODUCTION TO THE MEASURE WHERE THE TERM 'ALL LAND OPEN TO THE AIR'. IF THE CONTROL ORDER IS SPECIFIC TO DESIGNATED AREAS THEN I HAVE NO PROBLEM. HOWEVER IF IT IS LEFT OPEN TO 'CREEP' IN TURNING IT INTO A LAW UNDULY PUNITIVE TO THE 99% OF GOOD AND RESPONSIBLE OWNERS THEN I WOULD MIND!
- I live on Amington Fields and regularly exercise my dog in this area including walking along the canal tow path. My dog is very small. My walks are not always very enjoyable; there is the danger of dogs off lead, I have had quite a few scares when a large dog has ran at us and I have had to pick my dog up, which is scary for me and my dog as they jump up me. I also have to dodge the dog mess; I ALWAYS clean up after my dog and I have noticed that most small dog owners do, it is the owners with large dogs, who produce great

mounds of mess, that leave it and walk off. The canal towpath is in a disgraceful state with dog excrement everywhere, it actually makes us feel sick, I don't know how people can leave it. I just wish more people were caught, dogs were on leads in busy walking areas and always on leads and kept off childrens play areas.

- Any area where children play
- I agree that there must be areas left clean and safe for all members of the community to enjoy
- will the exclusion order apply to parents or guardians who take their children and dogs to play areas in exclusion zones and also to children who themselves take their dog.
- All dogs should be excluded from all play areas and be on a lead at all times
- don't know about the other play areas so cannot really comment on them
- It needs to be policed and on-the-spot fines introduced as it spoils enjoyment in grassed areas.
- I would be interested to know how this would be implemented. Would more dog-do bins be provided?
- Is the act enforceable if a dog fouls private land, such as a garden irrespective of the wishes of the landowner/tenant/occupier?
- Dog owners have a responsibility to all other citizens in regards to health and safety especially to young children
- If the wardens were available when and where people walk the dogs then it should work but walking in Wigginton Park on Saturday it was obvious nothing is done in that area as there is dog mess all over the grass and is very dangerous to children when playing in area it is a disgrace but obviously it's not an area that is looked after very well
- No comment.
- Which area?...outside my home for a start, Charlotte. There is someone who, I'm told, is regularly allowing their animal to foul on the verge. I've seen it myself but of course he picks it up when he's been seen. Another area is MacGregor Park... very unsanitary place to let children use the area with goalposts designated for football. Residents at Anker Moor Court, Rene Road who look out over the football pitches next to the home say dozens of dogs foul the pitches every day.
- what about the dogs that are roaming strays that foul the area how are you going to contact their owners there are more of them than the ones walking there pooch who do not pick up after them
- Agricultural land should be free of the control. All fines for dog fouling should be made public and the cost of dog fouling prevention should be shown as a separate issue. A better effort should be made by the Council to keep Dirt bins clean and emptied on a more regular basis.
- We have neighbour that regularly take their dogs onto waste ground at the end of our property with no leads and no fouling bags, these people have been reported but the people that patrol this area very rarely and have seen this act taking place. The area is the grassed area at the bottom of our street and at the rear of my property, also tipping is taking place now that the council does not visit these areas.
- Penalty not high enough

- Area outside my home is constantly misused by dog owners who fail to clear up after their animals
- It is particularly disgusting to find dog fouling on play areas reserved for children, Lakenheath is a prime example. perhaps the Health Authorities should become involved.
- Coton Green - my concern is that dog bins are being removed (two in Fontenaye Road) and this will encourage some people to throw bags away.
- i am fed up of walking in either wiggington park or to coton green school and having to look out for dog mess. my children are frequently coming home with dog poo on their shoes. there is even a bin on the way to school!
- The problem you are getting is that dogs are walked at night so you don't know who's not cleaning the mess.
- The areas of main concern are school routes, moorgate in particular suffers with a high amount of dog fouling every day.
- Anyone walking a dog should be liable to an on-the-spot fine if not in possession of a dog litter bag or means of cleaning up after the dog.
- I FEEL THE AREA AROUND WHERE I LIVE HAS IMPROVED WE JUST GET THE EARLY DOG WALKERS[ 5AM-7AM ]WHO COULDN'T CARE LESS ABOUT THE DOGS FOULING OTHER PEOPLES GARDEN OR DRIVES
- Public alley & walkways seem particularly prone to fouling
- strong policy is required
- More needs to be done its a real problem round by me in the Hockley Road, Gorsey Bank and surrounding areas
- THINK THIS IS A BRILLIANT IDEA, MORE DOG BINS AROUND AND THEN PEOPLE HAVE NO EXCUSE, HAVING TWO SMALL CHILDREN SOMETIMES ITS A NIGHTMARE TAKING THEM TO PARKS AND GRASS AREAS BECAUSE OF THE DOG MESS.
- I agree with increasing the fine but unless dog fouling is 'policed' then I cannot see that it will make any difference. The green area on our estate, Keepers Gate, Basin Lane, is used as a dog toilet, also the grass that runs alongside the canal towpath adjacent to our estate has the same problem. As a dog owner that always picks up after my dog, I find this very frustrating.
- As long as resource is available to enforce this then I support it fully. My walk to work (along the B5000 from Tamworth to Pennine Way) is littered with dog excrement.
- There are often dog faeces on the footpath between Glascote recreation ground and Canning Road. This path is used by children going to Woodlands Primary School. I often walk my own dog there and have to take care that she doesn't tread in the mess left by other dogs.
- Kettlebrook Rd through 'old' Kettlebrook seems to get more than its share of dog fouling left on the pavements for all to mop up and spread with our footwear! Maybe a little more focus here and around Kettlebrook would be appreciated.
- Cats and Foxes also foul so why take it out on dog owners specifically?
- Lakeside - dog owners in this area are responsible people and there is rarely any mess left. However, the open space off Abbotsgate is generally used as a dog toilet and is therefore not suitable for children to play on.

- We are inundated locally with dog fouling. The local walkway behind my house between 4-5 Broadway is a huge dog toilet and residents from all the surrounding roads pass my house on the way to the toilet...I have never seen a bag?
- think the penalty £50 enough surely must have a problem getting the fines paid, alos dogs are sometimes let out on their own how would owner be fined then?
- WISDOM AND WARNINGS ARE A GOOD OPTION - NOT LIKE THE TRAFFIC WARDENS ONE SOMETIMES HEARS OF, MOST DOG OWNERS ARE NICE PEOPLE. IT IS THE ODD COULDN'T CARE LESS TYPE WE ALL DESPISE THAT NEED TO BE TARGETED.
- Sick of having to clean up the gully from Overwoods Road and the School so the children attending the pre-school don't carry the poo in on their shoes.
- its ok to have the laws but you need people to enforce them , if there arent people out looking for the culprits then whats the point, i have reported the same person at least 3 times for dog fouling and its still happening.
- Will the law be effectively enforced?
- I think £50.00 fine is enough
- More enforcement needed. I have a dog and always take 2 or 3 bags when walking the dog so I can dispose of the litter in the proper place. I have lost count of the times i have seen polythene bags used for dog foul thrown into bushes or left hanging on branches. I hope this is an offence also.
- Fouling of land and pavements/walkways is a huge problem but we don't see many people charged. If legislation is going to make more impact on lazy, dirty owners it will be wonderful (if it works).
- Who will monitor? Who has the authority to fine?
- This is a good idea but will be virtually unenforable
- From junction of Birds Bush Road and Shannon, up to path going over Shannon to grass land
- Around Anker Valley Football pitch and public footpath ways
- I am a dog person myself and would never go out without a poop-scoop. I think that people who foul should have higher fines and be shown up in the Herald
- The leyfields are consistantly used by all sorts of dogs and it's disgusting
- I agree with increasing the fine, however, there is no monitoring of dog fouling in any public areas I visit on a regular basis, so will it make any difference? Also, dogs are meant to be kept on a lead, and they aren't - especially where young children are walking or playing. Again, there is no monitoring of this.
- Dog fouling should be clamped on because it's a health hazard
- Don't think dog fouling is as much of an issue as littering.
- Alley between Barbra Street and St John's Street and Ludgate
- I have a dog. It annoys me to see dog owners let their dogs loose on the green next to our house to mess. I never let my dog on there. The majority of the time it is in our garden and cleaned up when she's done it. We never go for a walk without adaqueate poo bags. Dosthill park has good bins for the bags.
- If dogs are walked their waste should be collected at once and taken with owner to be disposed.
- Along Fazeley Canal

- Excellent idea. Paths around lake in Fazeley Country Park are covered in dog mess
- My daughters school - Hillfield primary. The only path to the school is COVERED in dog fowl
- All playing grounds used for sport should have 'no dogs'
- If people have dogs they should take responsibility for their mess and a hefty fine may make them.
- More dog wardens early in the morning
- There are a few dog owners who do not clean up their mess in the cottage farm area. Take their dogs out early doors so they don't get caught
- More dog waste bins. Council should provide free bags as this would reduce the amount of dog waste that is about at the moment.
- The walkway from the top of Mergamer, Wilnecote down towards the Kingsbury Road is a very popular dog walking route and dog mess is a bad problem. A warden occasionally would help.
- Unless there are extra police officers on the streets to enforce this order, I do not see what difference it will make, or how it will act as a deterrent. Maybe it would be more worthwhile running a course to teach people the responsibilities that come with owning a pet.
- Fouling of public footpath is particularly objectionable
- More people are needed on the streets and in the parks to enforce the changes otherwise the changes are pointless!
- While some dog owners take the time to clear the dog mess up, others don't, consider the health hazards, apart from the mess, the bigger the fine, the better.
- Areas en route to parks etc. Such as Wigginton Poad and Fossdale Road. Much fouling of footpaths
- How do you convict people? I see lots of dog walkers not cleaning up; let us know what we have to do.
- More dog wardens on foot patrol
- I have a dog and clean up after him, i'm fed up of walking my dog if it's only a short distance and all I see is dog mess. I live in Bancroft, Glascoate and people just use ot for dogs to go to the toilet.
- Things have improved but I occsionally see dog mess on pathways. It's unhygienic and an annoyance
- Should increase to £100. Possiblty employ more dog wardens to enforce law
- There are still too many dog owners not cleaning up dog mess particularly on coventry canal towpaths.
- It's great having these orders but are they ever inforced! The amount of dog fouling makes walking hazardous along Thomas Street and Bamford Street, it's appalling.
- needs to be higher penalty
- Also litter dropping should be addressed with a larger penaltie fee
- Please install more dog poo bins to make disposal of bags better. Please re issue compostable bags for free or subsidised cost to encourage.
- Will this include the Garden of the dog owner. After all it is in an open air place, be it private property.
- The Council should be promoting areas where dogs are allowed to be free and have extra facilities for owners to clear the dogs mess, allow dogs to drink and be dog friendly.

- It is a sensible and reasonable approach to tackling a real and growing problem.
- People who get fined should also be given 15 hours community service clearing up other dog mess.
- If it is in an area where people and moreover children go it must be removed we all know what can happen and the bugs that live in dog mess. If you have a dog be responsible clean up after it.
- Plastic bags are harmful to environment
- Hospital Street/Orchard Street
- I agree about the dog fouling order
- Needs more bins to place poop in
- Maybe a slightly higher fine if within a certain distance of schools
- Unnecessary for agricultural land and common land. It's natural for animals to excrete here.
- Whether the dog is on a lead or not, some dog owners still let them foul the grass and pavements. It is hard to catch these owners. Fines should be more expensive if caught.
- In the 10 years we have lived here the past year has been the worst for fouling on pavements around Amington Tam road and Amington road
- Does anyone actually get prosecuted on this issue? Dog Fouling is on the increase on dosthills streets.
- Dog shit alley
- More dog bins needed for people to put bags into
- WE had a dogs for 29 years and never allowed either of them to foul the footpaths etc., Our children bought too much in on their shoes to allow our own to do it.
- I have only read that 1 person has been taken to court for non payment it would be nice if the number of people that were fined was put in local papers. The problem of dog mess is far worse in all areas of the borough
- Agree with increased fine, but current exemptions should remain
- I live in Davis Road and own a dog. I am fed up with the mess in this area, there needs to be more signage.
- You cannot walk on any grass area without walking in dog mess. Kettlebrook Linear Park
- I agree. The fouling of land footpath is getting worse but I'm at a loss of how to tackle it in this present financial climate (would bringing out dog licencing do any good?)
- I have rung on more than one occasion re dog mess in order for street scene to come to clear up to no avail in our residential area (Hanbury Farm Estate)
- I feel the legislation goes a little too far in including 'all land open to the air' ie woodland and moorland as surely nature would deal with dogs along with wildlife in such areas



**Please use the space below for any general comments you have in relation to the Dogs on Leads Order. If your comment relates to a specific area please tell us which area this is.**

- Dogs off lead is the single biggest cause of dog fouling - when dogs are in front of their owner the owner may clear up after them. if the dog is behind them the owner isn't even aware they've left a mess. Kept on lead the owner would be aware, the dog wouldn't be able to stray so far that the owner could say it was too difficult to reach. This order doesn't go far enough - the control of dogs on roads order 91/92 covered designated roads (all roads/footpaths were supposed to be designated). By going against this order you are saying its now ok for dogs to be off lead and to cause a nuisance to considerate dog owners who keep theirs on lead. I know of an owner who lets their dogs out to exercise on their own (ninefoot lane football pitch!) so how is the owner going to clear up after them when he's at home watching telly ?!!
- The whole of the Castle Pleasure Grounds should also be included to avoid dogs running wild.
- around belgrave and surrounding areas cat fouling and being forced to pick up and cost to prevent or be fined when it even is not owned just chooses to go toilet on your lawn or pathway,cats
- enforcement is practically impossible
- DEFINING THE CASTLE GROUNDS AREA IS A CRITERIA ACCEPTABLE IF I WALK MY DOG THERE.
- Again Amington Fields and the canal towpath in and around this area.
- I don't understand the problem having a behaved dog unleashed in town in the evenings when streets are free from shoppers. As for the last area I was unaware of this law & believe many other dog owners are likewise. Also I think there must be quite a few 'Grey Area' concerning the grass verges some of which are wide expanses.
- As a pet care professional I would welcome seeing a control on dogs on public footways as I have too many customers whose dogs (on lead) have been injured by dogs off lead as they try and go for a walk in the local area, I myself hesitate to take out my dogs in the village for fear they will be attacked by the dogs of less responsible owners. Common sense would dictate that all dogs would be on lead when walked by a road, however I see loose dogs in danger of causing a traffic accident all too often, and would applaud the control order for this reason.
- Dogs like to run and stretch their legs. This legislation seems to be restricting a dogs (especially well behaved ones) movements. Will you be restricting areas that mobility scooters can roam free? As they are a much bigger menace sometimes than any dog! I have been hit by irresponsible owners of these many times, with just a 'sorry' to cover your hurting leg or foot! When this is done i will agree that that dogs should be reigned in!
- If the owner can not control there own dog then it should always be on a leash
- I am have no problems with this.
- After chairing public PACT meetings for the last five years I know this to be an issue across the qwhole of Tamworth. Any area which is left out of the order would be very, very angry about it.

- See my last comment. Treat dog owners as responsible people until it is proven otherwise, then take action. Dog owners should not be punished as a group for the sake of a minority.
- The person I was referring to earlier let the dogs run from house without a lead and they are big dogs that have already knocked people over as they boystress dogs and should be on a lead at all times.
- Dogs need somewhere to run free!
- Being a non dog owner it may be necessary to set aside areas where dogs can be let off the leash for exercise. No solution is a perfect one ' you just can't please all the people all the time'.
- How can you monitor the owner all the time
- 100% in favour all areas
- NO COMMENT
- As a Dog owner there is no need whatsoever to let a dog off its lead, it can still exercise and have free space on a lead. What about children and older people that may be a little worried about dogs off leads, what about other dog owners walking their pets on leads, it has got to stop, if I am ever bitten or my dog is attacked I will take legal action and it will be pointed in the direction of my local council, without hesitation
- Warwickshire Moor but everywhere I go I see the same problems
- I believe dogs should have certain freedom when with their owners out walking. For example along canal towpaths, common land that is not tilled or tending and open fields. Dogs need exercise and relish a 'run around'.
- If a dog is out of control then the owner should be offered training at a cost or confiscation of said animal
- I have no problems with well behaved dogs on leads being walked anywhere in Tamworth..I do have a problem with misbehaved dogs. There are 2 very aggressive dogs usually tied up or walked around Stonydelph shops
- All dogs can be dangerous in the right circumstances and should be kept under control.
- any action on loose dogs is a good thing, for safety sake,
- Yes, if directed, owners should know when a dog is not trained, and if misbehaving they must put a lead on.
- Dogs should always be on a lead, I feel strongly about this as a parent and numerous times I have had to brake in my car due to dogs running into the road
- This is virtually unenforceable
- Amington canal tow paths. Dogs jumping up causing to soil my clothes and also rip my jacket with dog off lead and no control over dogs. Happens too many times
- Ludgate/Leys
- By Roads or public places which is pedestrian ways or shopping areas likely to be crowded. It is safer and kinder to the dog to keep them on a lead next to you.
- My dogs are always on a lead
- There should be places where we can take dogs for walks
- any public area
- Dangerous dogs should not be kept by people unable to control them, my dog was recently attacked by another dog, something needs to be done.

- Dogs not on leads are often encouraged by their owners to jump into local waters, causing much disturbance
- Unless there is a specific area for dog users to use, the health and safety of people must come first.
- In built up areas dogs need to be on leads, in an open space let them have a run.
- See previous comments. Be positive not negative.
- It really should apply to the whole area of the Borough.
- Dogs should be on leads
- The proposal seems clear
- People should be on leads
- Dogs should be kept on a lead except for wide open spaces where they could run free.
- You are creating a more and more unnatural, sterlised world. We don't want to be constantly controlled!!
- See last page and also in the local Marlborough Park.
- if the dog is out of control and has been put on a lead and it continues to cause a problem it should be taken from the person whos do it is
- My wife got bitten by a loose dog in the Amington area
- We often walk around the lakes at kettlebrook, but find dogs running loose quite intimidating
- As above, do not penalise responsible dog owners for the actions of a minority or general public phobias about dogs
- Wiggington Park

**Please use the space below for any general comments you have in relation to the Dogs on Leads by Direction Order. If your comment relates to a specific area please tell us which area this is.**

- I hope that the 'Authorised Officer' is going to include a wide variety of Officers to expand the reach and effectiveness of this regulation. Will there also be a spot-fine for non-compliance?
- Dogs should be on lead at all times - any dog can bite, all it takes is a trigger. This order should be irrelevant.
- dogs on leads are more in control but it does seem that persons without dogs should wake up too to their kids and others that think to walk at through or even stroke without permission very dasngerous and rude
- again impossible to enforce
- ALWAYS EVERYWHERE - AS LONG AS IT IS WARRENTED AND APPLIED FAIRLY WITH THE 'JUDGE' OF ANY PARTICULAR SITUATION HAVING RECEIVED ADEQUATE TRAINING TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN OUTRIGHT AGRESSION AND 'DOGGY '[SOMETIMES BOISTEROUS] PLAY WITH ME' BODY LANGUAGE EXHIBITION WHICH CAN BE COMMON. I NOW BELIEVE IT IS NOT ENOUGH FOR ME TO SIT AND SNIPE AT THIS, I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE MORE INVOLVEMENT IN THE DESIGNING OF THE MEASURE.

- As mentioned in my previous comments. There have been numerous occasions when I have had to pick my dog up as there is a large dog with no lead and no owner in site racing towards us.
- I have absolutely no problem with this BUT will it BE enforced and WILL offenders be brought to task?
- I have doubts about 'authorised officers', who will they be, what training will they receive, under what circumstances will they be able to exercise their powers. How will enforcement be implemented (this applies to all of the proposed orders, implementation without enforcement is a waste of tax payers money).
- Sometimes irresponsible owners do let their dog get out of control and this should not happen.
- Were I live in Salters Lane we have a lot of Staffies, and most of them are not on the leads at anytime !!! so donot like taking my dog out as I don't feel safe with them off the lead, and if you say anything you get abuse from the owner.
- Dogs should not be allowed in children's play areas just in case they become out of control
- I don't know enough of these places to comment on.
- a dog ona short lead can be controled
- Again, this is a very negative attitude. A positive attitude would be spelling out where dog owners can use the area with appropriate signage, appropriate dog dirt bins and perhaps facilities for dogs to get drinks.
- Where children are playing dogs should not be allowed
- Play areas are for children to play in not dogs
- I don't think dogs should be allowed in play areas where there will be children.
- Dogs should not be allowed in play areas for children due to danger of infection etc, also to keep children safe from dog attacks etc
- WOODHOUSE LANE AREA
- Dogs should NEVER be allowed to foul any designated childrens play area.
- any area where children play
- ANOTHER EXCELLENT IDEA, AS WITH MANY BEACHES NOW DOGS ARE NOT ALLOWED OR ONLY ALLOWED IN CERTAIN TIMES.
- PLayer areas should be kept as safe and as clean as possible for our children, there is no need for dogs to enter them.
- Dog fouling should be prevented by fining owners rather than excluding dogs. If the reason for exclusion was on safety grounds (i.e. preventing children from being bitten), then I would agree with the measure.
- dog owners must be responsible for they own dogs not any body else
- As comment @ 10
- All play areas should be dog fouling free. Question could have been worded as such!
- provided dogs aren't by actual play equipment can't see what the problem is provided owners are responsible for ensuring no dog fouling occurs
- CAN ONLY COMMENT UPON THE AREAS I KNOW, PARKFIELD I AM ASSUMING IT REFERS TO THE GRASSED AREA TO THER REAR OF THE HOUSES NEXT TO THE OLD SCHOOL SITE, NOW A DEVELOPED HOUSING SITE.

- Tethering posts outside the play areas could be made available for people when they take their dogs and children to the park
- play areas should be free from dogs
- As long as it is only in the actual play area
- Sorry, I don't know all the areas, so have no valid opinion. I think play areas for children should be surrounded by fencing.
- This is a good idea and should be enforceable
- Dogs allowed in open spaces, not in play areas.
- Anywhere where children play no dogs allowed at all as there is some dog owners do not clear their dog mess up.
- Children's play area is what it means. Dogs should not be allowed in these children's places!
- As long as this is only the play areas and around the perimeter there is somewhere to tether your dog should you have taken dog with grandchildren.
- Dogs should be excluded from the sports pitches in Wigginton Park
- any play/public area
- Aren't dogs already excluded from these areas. Maybe if you stopped building on the countryside that surrounds the town, people wouldn't have to exercise their dogs in play areas and cemeteries.
- Dogs shouldn't be allowed in play areas in the first place, they're for children, not dogs
- Dogs should not be in play areas at all.
- Dog poo usually left lying about!
- It's ok but where will people walk their dogs?
- I have no objections to dogs being off leads if they are well trained and of no danger
- All areas where children are playing should not have dogs mess to contend with
- adults and children should be able to enjoy play area's with out dogs jumping up or running after them
- Get rid of the broken glass and drug paraphernalia before you waste money on dog control! These play areas are a magnet to the scum of the town at night.
- Dogs and children learn to play together, many parents take their dogs out with their children to play parks. Should we restrict this? I don't think so.
- As these areas are principally used by children and young people the order should apply to them all.
- No dogs should be allowed in any play area
- same as previous comments
- I think dogs should be on leads at all times
- Can there be a specific park for dog walkers like in America
- It is very sad that you have to legislate to make owners act responsibly!
- Dogs should not be in specially made child play areas but they do need somewhere to exercise
- I do not think dogs should be excluded as they are part of life but should be looked after responsibly I know that is a tall order but children need to be used to animals.
- all play areas should be dog free and dog mess free
- I agree all play areas should be dog free

- Dogs should be on a lead in all public places
- Dogs should not be allowed where children are playing in case of attacks on children or fouling
- No dogs should be allowed to run free to foul childrens play areas. An exclusion zone for dogs would be fine providing it can be enforced by law or by-law.
- Along tow paths would be good
- Would prefer to include dogs but perhaps suggest should be muzzled in play areas